Yes, I'm familiar with the process and the documents. Simply saying, "We get our funding from (X)," while providing no information whatsoever about how (X) itself is funded, is not transparency -- it's obfuscation.

Check Your Fact is funded from the Daily Caller's newsroom budget, and the Daily Caller is funded by the Daily Caller News Foundation (DCNF). So where does the DCNF get its money from? Providing tax forms that merely document how much revenue the DCNF takes in does nothing to answer that question.
Hi all. I serve as an IFCN assessor in Asia and so, I'm familiar with the process. Here's a link to the latest application made by Daily Caller's Check Your Fact and the assessor's evaluation (the certification must be renewed annually).

You can see the funding sources they disclosed, download their tax returns, etc, in Criterion 4a. All applications and assessments are publicly available on IFCN's website, by the way.

https://ifcncodeofprinciples.poynter.org/application/public/check-your-fact/38F5A0E1-4438-54B9-68BA-4F805B53B429

With all good wishes,

Masato

Masato Kajimoto, PhD
Associate Professor of Practice
Journalism and Media Studies Centre
The University of Hong Kong
Tel: (b)(6)
E-mail: (b)(6)@hku.hk
Web: https://sites.google.com/view/masatokajimoto/

From: <fakenewssci@googlegroups.com> on behalf of David Mikkelson <snopes@snopes.com>
Date: Tuesday, 23 February 2021 at 02:56
To: "fakenewssci@googlegroups.com" <fakenewssci@googlegroups.com>
Subject: Re: [fakenewssci] Daily Caller

One of the key requirements of IFCN certification is supposed to be transparency of funding. If anyone can parse this word salad and figure out who or what funds the Daily Caller's fact-checking arm, I'd like to know:

https://checkyourfact.com/about-us/

On Mon, Feb 22, 2021 at 6:30 AM Dean Jackson <mailto:(b)(6)@ned.org> wrote:

It's something everyone has to answer for themselves. I personally wouldn't lend them the credibility.
From: Barrett Golding <mailto:barrettgolding@gmail.com> On Behalf Of Barrett Golding  
Sent: Saturday, February 20, 2021 8:04 PM  
To: mailto:fakenewssci@googlegroups.com  
Subject: Re: [fakenewssci] Daily Caller

The Daily Caller has a NewsGuard Green rating (scoring 70/100), a Source Credibility of Medium from Logically, an Our.news status of Verified, and an MBFC factual-reporting rating of Mixed:

https://nam02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https://mediabiasfactcheck.com/daily-caller/&data=0401_@ned.org889bda42c90e49c7c56408d860ced2a4e07708641b34a22b78e41daff10793d110637494699129300953fUnknownfTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWIojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQOiA2luMzIiLCJBTiA6Ik1haWwiLCJXVC16Mn0=|3000&sdata=AlmPGasqiBEYDHPiEAxM6KsOb2cVEBuT+sn6zOzvA=&reserved=0

By way of comparison CNN and MSNBC are also MBFC Mixed. Another thing Daily Caller has in its favor is its connection with the IFCN-verified fact-checking site: https://nam02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https://checkyourfact.com/&data=0401_@ned.org889bda42c90e49c7c56408d860ced2a4e07708641b34a22b78e41daff10793d110637494699129300953fUnknownfTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWIojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQOiA2luMzIiLCJBTiA6Ik1haWwiLCJXVC16Mn0=|3000&sdata=8VThJL/4Ox7erij2v50LQKDsIVFSecwo2b+kPVmh2AE=&reserved=0

Plus their interview with Dr. Gleb Tsipursky seemed fair and fine.

On Feb 19, 2021, at 6:17 AM, 'Dr. Gleb Tsipursky' via Combating Fake News: The Science of Misinformation <mailto:fakenewssci@googlegroups.com> wrote:
Sharing my personal experience being interviewed by The Daily Caller a few months ago on my own project to address misinformation, it was a good experience, and they published a fair interview. Here's the article, FYI. Anyone interested in knowing more can contact me off the list https://nam02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https://dailycaller.com/2020/10/06/politicians-pro-truth-pledge-stop-spread-misinformation/&data=0401_@ned.org889bda42c90e49c7c56408d860ced2a4e07708641b34a22b78e41daff10793d1106374946991293010953fUnknownfTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWIojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQOiA2luMzIiLCJBTiA6Ik1haWwiLCJXVC16Mn0=|3000&sdata=GxSzMfHznl2GYRIi+1VHWYZAarneCAU1j0qxKEfxc=&reserved=0

On Feb 19, 2021, at 2:41 AM, Harith Alani <mailto:harithalani@gmail.com> wrote:
... According to my database, Daily Caller has published several misinforming articles themselves.

De: mailto:fakenewssci@googlegroups.com <mailto:fakenewssci@googlegroups.com> de la part de Stephan Lewandowsky <mailto:stephanew@bristol.ac.uk>  
...the Daily Caller is an “orange” site (by the Grinberg et al., 2019, classification)
FakeNewsSci seeks to cultivate and continue an academic and practical agenda around defining and protecting against fake news and misinformation, and work to build news literacy, defend a free press and strengthen first amendment principles.

There are three ground rules for this community aimed at ensuring appropriate communication and exchange:

1. Civility is the primary responsibility of membership - we intend to avoid uncivil debates or rhetoric and those who violate this rule will be immediately removed.

2. To preserve people's comfort with being open and frank, all exchanges are to be treated as "off the record" unless the member(s) in question explicitly agree otherwise.

3. To maintain high-quality exchanges of ideas and practice, all new members must be recommended by two other group members to be added to this group. Please fill the form at https://nam02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https://forms.gle/Za7ZvXL7yAmp2a3e9 before you apply for membership.

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Combating Fake News: The Science of Misinformation" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to mailto:fakenewssci-unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.
To view this discussion on the web visit https://nam02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/fakenewssci/2A87C797-CA15-4732-9B18-C26A077E94C%40hearingvoices.com?utm_medium=email&utm_source=footer&data=04011-@ned.org|889bda42c90e49c7c564088d8d60ced2a14e07708641b34a22b78e41daf10793d1106374946991293209422Unknown[TWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWJoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQjoiV2luMzIiLCJTil6lk1haWwiLCJVCi6Mn0=]3000&sdata=APZn4HRRr4GLsGVBEBVilwjVp9VGYWHv+ElyKVwuE=&reserved=0
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Sender: David Mikkelson <snopes@snopes.com>; fakenewssci@googlegroups.com
Recipient: fakenewssci@googlegroups.com